

Lisa Schunk

Understanding Middle Palaeolithic asymmetric stone tool
design and use

Functional analysis and controlled experiments
to assess Neanderthal technology

MONOGRAPHIEN

des Römisch-Germanischen Zentralmuseums

Band 159

Römisch-Germanisches
Zentralmuseum
Leibniz-Forschungsinstitut
für Archäologie

R | G | Z | M

Römisch-Germanisches Zentralmuseum
Leibniz-Forschungsinstitut für Archäologie

Lisa Schunk

**UNDERSTANDING MIDDLE PALAEOOLITHIC
ASYMMETRIC STONE TOOL DESIGN AND USE**

**FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS AND CONTROLLED EXPERIMENTS
TO ASSESS NEANDERTHAL TECHNOLOGY**

Verlag des Römisch-Germanischen Zentralmuseums **Mainz 2022**

Die vorliegende Arbeit wurde vom Fachbereich 07 – Geschichts- und Kulturwissenschaften der Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz im Jahr 2021 als Dissertation zur Erlangung des Grades eines Doktors der Philosophie (Dr. phil.) angenommen.

The present work was accepted in 2021 by the department 07 – Historical and Cultural Sciences of the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz as dissertation to attain the academic degree of a Doctor of Philosophy (Dr. phil.).



Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.



Dieses Werk ist unter der Creative Commons-Lizenz 4.0 (CC BY-SA 4.0) veröffentlicht.
Die Umschlaggestaltung unterliegt der Creative-Commons-Lizenz CC BY-ND 4.0

Propylaeum

FACHINFORMATIONSDIENST
ALTERTUMSWISSENSCHAFTEN

Diese Publikation ist auf <http://www.propylaeum.de> dauerhaft frei verfügbar (Open Access).
urn: urn:nbn:de:bsz:16-propylaeum-ebook-1076-2
doi: <https://doi.org/10.11588/propylaeum.1076>

Publiziert im Auftrag des RGZM bei der
Universität Heidelberg / Universitätsbibliothek
Propylaeum – Fachinformationsdienst Altertumswissenschaften
Grabengasse 1, 69117 Heidelberg
<https://www.uni-heidelberg.de/de/impressum>

Inhalt und Layout © 2022 Verlag des Römisch-Germanischen
Zentralmuseums, Ernst-Ludwig-Platz 2, 55116 Mainz

ISSN 0171-1474
eISSN 2629-673X

ISBN 978-3-96929-194-8 (Hardcover)
ISBN 978-3-96929-170-2 (PDF)

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	IX
Introduction	1
State-of-the-art	5
Middle Palaeolithic asymmetric tools	5
<i>Keilmesser</i>	6
<i>Prądnik scraper</i>	7
<i>Prądnik method</i>	7
Tool design	8
Technology	9
Tool function and use	14
Summary	16
Aims	17
Materials	19
Selection of the sites	20
Buhlen	21
Site location and excavations	21
Buhlen lithic assemblage	22
Balver Höhle	23
Site location and excavations	23
Balver Höhle lithic assemblage	24
Ramioul	25
Site location and excavations	25
Ramioul lithic assemblage	26
Methods	27
Raw material characterisation	27
Techno-typological analysis	29
Blanks and cortex	29
Morphometric quantitative analysis	30
Tool back	30
Active edge retouch	30
Lateralisation	30
Morphological shape variability	31
Application of the <i>Prądnik method</i>	32
<i>Prądnik spalls</i>	32
Data analysis	32
Sampling strategy	32

Quantification of edge design	34
Edge angle variability and morpho-functional design	35
3D data preparation and acquisition	36
»3-point« procedure	37
»2-lines« procedure	37
»best-fit« procedure	38
Parameters	38
Edge angle data analysis	39
Use-wear analysis	39
Traceology, use-wear analysis & functional analysis	40
Artefact cleaning procedure	42
Qualitative use-wear analysis	42
Quantitative use-wear analysis	46
Controlled experiments	58
<i>First generation experiments</i>	58
<i>Second generation experiments</i>	58
<i>Third generation experiments</i>	59
Experimental setup – initial experiment	61
Experimental setup – »artificial VS. natural« experiment	62
Experimental setup – tool function experiment	64
Analysis of the experiments	66
Results	71
Raw material characterisation	71
Techno-typological analysis	75
Blanks and cortex	75
Morphometric quantitative analysis	78
Tool back	87
Active edge retouch	92
Lateralisation	96
Morphological shape variability	98
Application of the <i>Prądnik method</i>	104
<i>Prądnik spalls</i>	105
Quantification of edge design	112
Edge angle variability	112
»3-point« procedure	113
»2-lines« procedure	115
»best-fit« procedure	119
Edge angle analysis	121
Use-wear analysis	125
Qualitative use-wear analysis	125
Quantitative use-wear analysis	135
Controlled experiments	152
Data analysis – initial experiment	152
Data analysis – »artificial VS. natural« experiment	157

Data analysis – tool function experiment	169
Combined data of the experiments	179
Discussion	181
Tool standardisation	181
Tool dimensions and the effect of reduction	181
Shape variability in <i>Keilmesser</i>	184
Transmission of skills and knowledge	184
Tool design: technological choices and edge design	185
The <i>Keilmesser</i> concept	185
<i>Keilmesser</i> edge design	186
Tool handling	187
<i>Prądnik scrapers</i> and the <i>Keilmesser</i> concept	188
Tool lateralisation and the implications for human hand preferences	190
Technology and use-wear to infer tool laterality	190
Resharpener and recycling behaviour	192
Resharpener addressed by a functional analysis	192
Raw material and their implications for tool performance	195
Material loss, tool damage and blunting	195
Tool use and functionality	197
The multifunctional aspect of <i>Keilmesser</i>	197
Tool use in site context	202
Tool performance and variables affecting it	202
Surface texture roughness and the formation of use-wear traces	203
Contact material and use intensity	204
Conclusion	207
References	213
Appendix I: Results of the qualitative and quantitative use-wear analyses performed on samples from the archaeological sites Buhlen, Balver Höhle and Ramioul – Plates A1-156	
Appendix II: Results of the qualitative and quantitative use-wear analyses performed on standard samples used during the »artificial VS. natural« experiment and the tool function experiment – Plates B1-16	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The submission of this dissertation marks the end of a long and not always straightforward, often curvy road. Following this road was challenging, yet equally rewarding. I would like to express my gratitude to everyone who joined me on this path and helped me keeping on track. Moreover, thank you also for reading the results of those years of effort. I hope all of you find your effort in some parts reflected in this thesis.

My sincerest thanks I offer to Prof. Dr. Sabine Gaudzinski-Windheuser who advised this PhD project and supported me with insightful comments and suggestions. I am also grateful for the support of Dr. Olaf Jöris, who has accompanied me since my first year at university. I particularly appreciate your critical view that made me reconsider and scrutinize many of my thoughts. Thank you both for dedicating your time through all these years to me and for providing me with the tools necessary to find my path in the research landscape. Many thanks also to Prof. Dr. Christopher Pare and Dr. habil. Peter Haupt for their immediate agreement on being part of the PhD committee.

I further extend my gratitude to those who provided me access to the archeological material. Without this opportunity, this research would not have been possible. I wish to thank Dr. Irina Görner (Hessisches Landesmuseum Kassel, Germany), Dr. Birgit Mecke (Landschaftverband Westfalen-Lippe – Archäologie für Westfalen, Münster, Germany) and Dr. Cécile Jungels (Préhistomuseum, Belgium) for providing access to the assemblages from Buhlen, Balver Höhle and Ramioul. Many thanks for the good and helpful cooperation. At the same time, I am thankful for the funding of my research by the Leibniz-Association's extraordinary item of expenditure (Sondertatbestand).

To my colleagues at MONREPOS and at the RGZM in Mainz I am also much indebted. I am thankful for everything I have learned from you and for all the knowledge you shared with me and your patience during the process. I am especially glad about getting the chance to join TraCEr. Special thanks go to Dr. Martin Street, Geoff Carver, M.A., Dipl.-Ing. Guido Heinz, Dipl.-Ing. Anja Cramer, Walter Gneisinger, B.Sc., and Dr. Ivan Calandra. I highly appreciate the immense effort you all invested in this project. Thank you for helping to produce and in turn to process the data. Without the data and your input, this dissertation would not exist.

I further extend my appreciation to my family and friends. I value your moral support, your motivation and your kindness. Thank you for believing in me. I would also like to thank you, João. I am truly grateful for your continuous support and optimism. Thank you for joining me on this journey and for showing me the way forward whenever I lost my track.